

Action Evaluation

Thurston Regional Planning Council

March 23, 2017

Overview: In this project phase, stakeholders will use screening criteria (*below*) to evaluate actions.

Process: The goal today (*Meeting 8*) is to evaluate actions within the Flooding & Erosion, Transportation & Energy, and Wildfire & Hazard Response groups.

Criteria		Answer Range
Magnitude:	How many red risks does this action address?	<i>One [1], Few [2-3], or Many [4+]</i>
Effectiveness:	Is this action a long-term solution (i.e., durable)?	<i>Yes or No</i>
	To what degree would this action reduce the red risk(s)?	<i>High, Medium, or Low</i>
	Is this action already being taken?	<i>Yes or No</i>
Side-effects:	Would this action have negative effects on project goals?*	<i>Yes or No</i>
	Would this action have positive effects on project goals?*	<i>Yes or No</i>
Equity:	Would the costs and benefits of this action be shared equally?	<i>Yes or No</i>

*Project goals are listed in the revised Vision, Principles & Goals handout in your binder (*Meeting 8 section*).

Next Steps: On April 27 (*Meeting 9*), stakeholders will evaluate the remaining actions within the Plants & Animals and Water Use groups. Stakeholders also will consider how best to integrate new adaptation ideas generated during the April 17 public forum.

On May 11, (*Meeting 10*), stakeholders will review a draft list of priority actions, based on a numeric value assigned to each of the screening criteria. Earth Economics will present an overview of the Benefit-Cost Analysis (BCA) work it will perform during the summer.

In June (*Meeting 11*), stakeholders will select several actions for benefit-cost analyses. Stakeholders also will begin selecting a timeline, lead and partner for actions. No meeting is scheduled for August.

In September (*Meeting 12*), Earth Economics will present stakeholders the results of its benefit-cost analyses. The project team also will present stakeholders an update on the draft plan and elicit input.