

Climate Action Steering Committee

Meeting #5 Agenda

July 26, 2021, 3-5 PM

In order to comply with the Governor's guidance to "Stay Home and Stay Healthy" and in keeping with the Proclamation by the Governor Amending Proclamation 20-05 Open Public Meetings Act and Public Records Act issued on March 24th 2020, participation in this meeting will be through **remote access only**.

To register to attend the meeting:

https://us02web.zoom.us/webinar/register/WN_pi0jimAMTkSERXRp2-n7KA

After registering, you will receive a confirmation email containing information about joining the meeting.

NOTE: Committee members will be pre-registered and will receive a separate link to join the meeting.

	Estimated*
1. OPENING	20 min
1a Call to Order	
1b Introductions	
1c Approval of Agenda	
1d Approval of Previous Meeting Summary	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Attachment 1: June 28 Meeting Summary	
1e Opportunity for Public Comment	
During this portion of the meeting, members of the public may address the Committee regarding the Committee's business. Public comment for this meeting will be accepted in written and oral format.	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Written comments: The deadline for submitting written public comments is noon (12 pm PST) the Friday preceding the meeting, July 23, 2021. For written comments, please include your name and address, your comment, and email them to climate@trpc.org. Written comments received by the deadline will be provided to the Committee prior to the meeting.Oral comments: Please indicate that you wish to provide public comment when registering for the meeting. The Chair may limit oral public comments to two (2) minutes or less, depending on the meeting agenda and number of people wishing to comment. The Chair may allow for additional public comment to be taken at the end of the agenda for those who signed up at the beginning of the meeting and did not get an opportunity to speak during the allotted time.	
2. PRESENTATIONS	INFORMATION 40 min
2a. Puget Sound Energy Strategy – Matthew Mauer, PSE	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">PSE staff will present on the utility's programs aimed at reducing greenhouse gas emissions.	
2b. State Climate Legislation	
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Representative Jessica Bateman will be on hand to discuss climate mitigation-related legislation priorities for the upcoming state legislative session.	
3. COMMITTEE BUSINESS	45 min
3a. Long Term Governance Agreement– Allison Osterberg and Michael Ambrogi, TRPC	DISCUSSION
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Attachment 2: Long Term Governance Agreement Options MemoAttachment 3: Monitoring Options Memo	
4. STANDING AGENDA ITEMS	INFORMATION/ DISCUSSION 15 min

4a. **Jurisdictional Information Sharing** - All

Members share progress on implementation of the Thurston Climate Mitigation Plan or other relevant topics

5. **ADJOURN**

*The times listed above are estimates. Items may not take the time allotted, and the meeting may adjourn early if all business has been completed.

NOTE ON PUBLIC PARTICIPATION VIA ONLINE MEETING:

TRPC reserves the right to limit public participation to the public comment section of the agenda. A participant may be removed from the meeting if they engage in disruptive behavior which may include the following:

- Promoting or advertising commercial services, entities or products.
- Supporting or opposing political candidates or ballot propositions.
- Distributing obscene content.
- Engaging in illegal activity or encouragement of illegal activity.
- Promoting, fostering or perpetuating discrimination on the basis of creed, color, age, religion, gender, gender identification, marital status, status with regard to public assistance, national origin, physical or mental disability or sexual orientation information that may tend to compromise the safety or security of the public or public systems.
- Threatening or intimidating language, containing cyber bullying.
- Otherwise engaging in disruptive behavior that is unrelated to the content of the meeting.

TRPC ensures full compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 by prohibiting discrimination against any person based on race, color, national origin, or sex in the provision of benefits and services resulting from its federally assisted programs and activities. For questions regarding TRPC's Title VI Program, you may contact the Department's Title VI Coordinator at 360.956.7575.

If you need special accommodations to participate in this meeting, please call us at 360.956.7575 by 10:00 a.m. three days prior to the meeting. Ask for the ADA Coordinator.

For TDD users, please use the state's toll-free relay service, 711 and ask the operator to dial 360.956.7575.



Climate Action Steering Committee Meeting #4 Summary - DRAFT June 28, 2021, 3:00-5:00 PM

Link to packet and presentations:

<https://www.trpc.org/Calendar.aspx?EID=560&month=6&year=2021&day=28&calType=0>

Agenda Item	Notes
<p>1. Call to Order, Introductions, & Public Comments</p>	<p>Chair Parshley called the Climate Action Steering Committee (CASC) meeting to order at 3:03 pm.</p> <p>Steering Committee members, support staff from Thurston Regional Planning Council (TRPC), staff from Thurston County and the cities of Lacey, Olympia, and Tumwater, provided self-introductions.</p> <p>Chair Parshley requested approval of the agenda.</p> <p>Committee Member Swarhout, moved, seconded by Committee Member Pratt, to approve the agenda.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Motion to adopt the Climate Action Steering Committee agenda is approved. <p>Committee Member Pratt, moved, seconded by Committee Member Swarhout, to approve the May 24, 2021, meeting summary.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Motion to adopt the Climate Action Steering Committee’s May 24, 2021, meeting summary is approved. <p>Chair Parshley called for comments from members of the public. There were 6 public comments. Brief summaries follow:</p> <p><i>Karen Messmer:</i> Volunteer of The Transportation Action Group and Thurston Climate Action Team (TCAT), TCAT is working to increase the number of Electric Vehicles in Thurston County. TCAT produced a webinar where local residents talk about driving and charging their Electronic Vehicles. The webinar is called Charging into the Future and in on their website. Karen thanked everyone for saving the planet.</p> <p><i>Melinda Hughes:</i> Thurston County Action Team has a new translation service on their website. June 3rd an energy efficiency webinar. Climate justice mural design workshop at Priest Point Park Saturday June 26th. TCAT Action Group Youth ECO had a recent meeting. TCAT</p>



	<p>is growing and looking for a donated space for meetings and supplies.</p> <p>Joseph Hiss: Member of Thurston County Action Team, he just wanted to give general encouragement on the work the Climate Team does. Keep up the good work.</p> <p>Thad Kurtz: He is exploring Electric heat pump and water heater options, and would like to see ongoing educational program for contractors. It hasn't been easy to find someone able to answer questions about what would be useful to do in his house.</p> <p>Tom Crawford: Board Chair for Thurston Climate Action Team, Thank you for all your great work. Spoke about points of concern, a draft of an upcoming report from the intergovernmental panel on climate change raises our stakes for our work on climate mitigation in Thurston County.</p> <p>Kim Morillo: Parks Department/Citizen, Took the big plunge and got solar panels. Interested in getting an electric car.</p>
<p>2a. State Energy Strategy - Michael Breish & Glenn Blackmon, Washington State Department of Commerce</p>	<p>Michael Breish & Glenn Blackmon from Washington State Department of Commerce presented on the state energy strategy, decarbonizing the transportation sector, and resources for local governments.</p> <p>Glenn Blackmon, Ph.D In 2019, state legislature passed several laws to align state energy strategy with updated clean electricity and greenhouse gas emissions targets. The project included modeling different decarbonization strategies, as well as the costs and economic impacts of different approaches, and applying equity principles. Additional information available at www.commerce.wa.gov/energystrategy</p> <p>Michael Breish Answered three questions during his presentation.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. What's happening with transportation in the state energy strategy?2. What 2020 state legislation, capital and operating budget elements are relevant to local governments planning for climate mitigation?3. What are some opportunities for local governments (funding, etc)?
<p>2b. TCMP Equity Assessment Allison Osterberg, TRPC</p>	<p>TRPC Senior Planner Allison Osterberg gave an update on a supplemental assessment looking at equity impacts of the Thurston</p>



	Climate Mitigation Plan, funded by a grant from the Department of Commerce.
3. Committee Business 3a. Long-term Governance Agreement	This agenda item was skipped due to time constraints. Chair Lisa Parshley requested that a majority of the next meeting focus on discussing the governance and interlocal agreement.
4. Standing Items 4a. Jurisdictional Info Sharing	<p>City of Lacey: Lacey added 12 EV chargers 8 type 2 220 chargers and 4 480 rapid chargers. July 8th City Council work session is going to look at the adoption of the plan cost estimates that goes beyond existing work. Aug 5th scheduled to vote on adopting the plan.</p> <p>Thurston County: The BoCC has set a public hearing for July 20th on an ordinance enabling the C-PACER program. Members can send in written comment or come to the meeting July 20th at 2pm. County staff is working on Climate spending implementation plan that is projected to be done at the end of July.</p> <p>City of Tumwater: City Green Team is compiling data from 2019 issues during COVID. Working on turning all fleet vehicles to green diesel.</p> <p>City of Olympia: Working on Solsmart application that will help citizens in developing and permitting guide on installing Solar panels, EV charging stations, and will show providers in the area that install electric heat pumps. Opportunities to fund energy efficient upgrade. City of Olympia is starting an internal Climate Action Team which will consist of 15 people. Tuesday night Lisa put in a referral to the City Council setting up additional work on climate mitigation priorities.</p> <p>Other standing items were skipped for time. Chair Lisa Parshley asked members to spend time thinking about the model system for governance that Allison sent out. Think about what this looks like for our County and Region come ready for a discussion to the next meeting.</p>
5. Adjourn	Meeting was adjourned at 5:04 pm.



Meeting Attendance

Steering Committee Present Online:

Lisa Parshley – City of Olympia, and Chair
Pamela Braff – City of Olympia staff
Yen Huynh – City of Olympia alternate
Cynthia Pratt – City of Lacey representative
Carolyn Cox – City of Lacey alternate
Jessica Brandt – City of Lacey staff

Eileen Swarthout – City of Tumwater
representative
Carolina Mejia, Thurston County alternate
Jennica Machado, Thurston County staff
Brad Medrud – City of Tumwater staff

Public Present Online:

Thad Curtz
Tom Crawford
Jenn Bass
Joseph Hiss
Karen Messmer
Michael Breish
Kim Murillo

R Peggy Smith
Matthew Mauer
Aloha Kakou
Jeff Miller
Glenn Blackmon
Melinda Hughes
Wayne Olsen

Staff Present:

Allison Osterberg, Senior Planner
Marc Daily, Executive Director
Burlina Lucas, Administrative Assistant
Dorinda Merrill, Office Assistant III
Karen Parkhurst, Planning and Policy Director
Michael Ambrogi, Senior Planner

Next Meeting: July 26, 2021, 3-5:00 PM

Thurston Climate Mitigation Plan Coordinator:

Allison Osterberg

osterbergA@trpc.org

360.956.7575 (main) or (360) 741-2513 (direct)

Link to project website: <https://www.trpc.org/Climate>

TRPC website: <https://www.trpc.org/>

*Meeting summary is prepared by Dorinda Merrill, Administrative Assistant, Thurston Regional Planning Council
This summary is not verbatim. A recording of this meeting is available upon request or available at
<https://www.trpc.org/966/Meeting-Materials>.*

MEMORANDUM

TO: Climate Action Steering Committee (CASC)
 FROM: Allison Osterberg, Senior Planner, Thurston Regional Planning Council
 DATE: July 19, 2021
 SUBJECT: Long Term Governance Agreement Options

Requested Action

Discuss and provide guidance on options to include in a long-term governance agreement.

Background

Under Task 2 of the Phase 3 Interlocal Agreement, the CASC is charged with reviewing options to develop an agreement for longer-term governance to support a coordinated regional approach to climate mitigation.

Components of that agreement are to include:

- Oversight committee
- Role for key public and private sector partners in plan implementation and oversight committee
- Monitoring
- Public Outreach

In order to facilitate this discussion, staff reviewed governance examples from other multijurisdictional climate collaboratives. Two key resources include [Lessons in Regional Resilience](#), a 2017 report by the Georgetown Climate Center based on six case studies, and [Regional Collaboratives for Climate Change](#), a 2019 report by the Institute for Sustainable Communities.

Below are some key questions for discussion to guide development of governance options.

Draft Purpose and Goals

Based on member feedback at the May 24 CASC meeting, staff have drafted the following priority purpose and goals for a long-term agreement:

- To maintain **momentum** for local climate action.
- To develop efficient **regional solutions** for reducing sources of greenhouse gases.
- To provide **accountability** by tracking progress toward achieving regional climate targets.
- To **build public support** for climate action, and **coordinate outreach** on climate mitigation activities.

Committee members also affirmed that they would like to maintain the form of **chartered network**, where members maintain a set of agreed-upon rules to guide their interactions and decisions, rather than either a more formal legal entity or an informal communication network.

Work Program

- What type of work will the group do? How are priorities identified?

A regional body could take on many different types of work to support climate action. The work program should be tied to the purpose and goals of the group, as well as to the implementation strategy of the Thurston Climate Mitigation Plan.

Other regional climate collaboratives have taken on different types of work, including developing or contracting for resources like:

- Guidance, training, technical assistance, or tools targeted to specific sectors
 - *Example:* [K4C resources](#), including a Climate Action Toolkit and Communication Toolkit, and [GreenTools](#)
 - *Example:* [Southeast Florida Regional Compact Implementation Resources](#), including equity guidance, regional economic assessment, EV infrastructure, Health Impact Assessment.
- Climate or policy research and analysis
 - *Example:* Capital Regional Climate Readiness Collaborative, [Urban Heat Island Mitigation](#) Project
- Model policy or legislation
- Database of case studies to showcase ways partners have acted on specific actions
 - *Example:* [K4C partner case studies](#)
- Policy positions for advocacy at the state and federal level
 - *Example:* [Southeast Florida Policy Platform](#)

The Multijurisdictional Staff Team will meet in August to develop an initial proposal for a work program, based on a review of the Implementation Strategy included in the *Thurston Climate Mitigation Plan* and identifying key needs. This will be brought back to the Steering Committee as part of the draft ILA options.

Membership

- What entities can be members of the agreement?

Currently, membership of the CASC is limited to the four jurisdictional partners of the *Thurston Climate Mitigation Plan*. The CASC could maintain this structure, or invite additional local governments, tribes, public agencies, nonprofits, or private partners to become members. Membership could be limited to ensure representation by certain interest groups (ie, business interests, environmental interests), have a cap on total number of members, or be open to any interested groups.

There can be tiers to membership: one option used by some collaborative groups is to limit decisionmaking to a Steering Committee of local government representatives (who pay dues), but open membership to non-voting or ex-officio members. Figure 1 shows some of the range of approaches taken by other climate collaboratives.

FIGURE 1. COMPARISON OF ORGANIZATION CHARACTERISTICS OF CLIMATE COLLABORATIVES SOURCE: GEORGETOWN CLIMATE CENTER

Collaborative	Membership [†]				Administrative or Fiscal Host	Funding Source(s) [‡]				
	Local government	Public agencies & utilities*	Nonprofit, Academia	Business/Private		Member dues/Cost-share	Philanthropic grants	State or federal grants	Other	In-kind services
<i>King County-Cities Climate Collaboration (K4C)</i>	✓	✗	✗	✗	County	✓				✓
<i>Capital Region Climate Readiness Collaborative (CRC)</i>	✓	✓	✓	✓	Nonprofit – Local Government Commission	✓			✓	✓
<i>Sierra Climate Adaptation & Mitigation Partnership (Sierra CAMP)</i>	✓	✓	✓	✓	Nonprofit – Sierra Business Council	~	✓		✓	✓
<i>Los Angeles Regional Collaborative for Climate Action and Sustainability (LARC)</i>	✓	✓	✓	✓	University – UCLA	✓		✓		✓
<i>San Diego Regional Climate Collaborative (SDRCC)</i>	✓	✓	✓		University – USD	~	✓	✓	✓	✓
<i>Southeast Florida Regional Climate Change Compact (Compact)</i>	✓	✗	✗	✗	Nonprofit – Institute for Sustainable Communities; County – Broward (fiscal)	✓	✓	✓		✓

†Note on Membership: “✗” indicates entities/organization types that are not eligible at all for membership in the collaborative, while blank spaces indicate member types that are eligible but so far the collaborative does not have any from that category.
 ‡Note on Funding Sources: blank spaces indicate funding sources not used to date, while tildes (~) indicate mixed or optional dues structures (i.e., SDRCC, which does not charge dues to public agency members, and Sierra CAMP, which has a voluntary/suggested contribution structure).
 *Other public agencies include regional authorities like water and air districts, councils of government, port authorities, etc. Utilities (e.g., electric) are included in this category regardless of ownership.

If membership is limited to local governments, the committee will need to identify the role of other public and private sector entities in providing either oversight of or input into the group’s work.

Convening

- What type of regular meetings will be held?

Currently, the Climate Action Steering Committee meets 6-12 times in a year, a Multijurisdictional Staff Team meets 7-10 times a year, and the Phase 3 agreement allows the partners to create issue-specific work groups (not currently funded). The long-term governance agreement should specify the type and frequency of meetings, including the potential for formal or ad-hoc subcommittees, and involvement of staff.

Example #1 – The [Capital Region Climate Readiness Collaborative](#) (Sacramento, CA area) hosts quarterly workshops for its full membership list on a topics of interest (like transportation or flood risk) and has a smaller Steering Committee that meets more regularly to guide work.

Example #2 – The [King County-Cities Climate Collaborative](#) (K4C) holds monthly meetings for staff from the partner jurisdictions (60-90 minutes), and an elected official work session two times per year, typically in spring and fall.

Example #3 – The [Los Angeles Regional Collaborative for Climate Action and Sustainability](#) (LARC) holds membership meetings every other month, and has a Governing Body limited to seven members, elected from among the full members, that meets quarterly.

Funding

- How should long-term coordination be funded?

Currently, each of the four founding partners pay an equal share of the total amount identified to complete an annual work plan.

Many climate collaboratives use annual dues to fund their work, either as equal shares or with a dues structure tied to population or other factors. Some require dues only of members with decision authority (if decisionmaking is limited to certain members), make dues voluntary, or allow for in-kind contributions (such as staff time) in lieu of dues.

Figure 1 (above) shows the range of approaches to funding taken by some existing groups.

Figure 2 (below) shows the funding structure used by the King County-Cities Climate Collaborative.

FIGURE 2. FUNDING STRUCTURE OF KING COUNTY CLIMATE

<u>Population Categories</u>	<u>Jurisdiction</u>	<u>Population</u>	<u>Population Categories</u>	<u>Jurisdiction</u>	<u>Population</u>
Under 5,000	\$500	Level 1	20-39,999	\$1,200	Level 3
	Skykomish	198		Kenmore	20,460
	Beaux Arts Village	299		Maple Valley	22,684
	Hunts Point	394		Mercer Island	22,699
	Milton	831		SeaTac	26,909
	Yarrow Point	1001		Des Moines	29,673
	Carnation	1,786		Issaquah	30,434
	Medina	2,969		Burien	33,313
	Clyde Hill	2,984	40- 65,000	\$2,000	Level 4
	Algona	3,014		Sammamish	45,780
	Black Diamond	4,151		Kirkland	48,787
5,000 - 19,999	\$700	Level 2		Shoreline	53,007
	North Bend	5,731		Redmond	54,144
	Normandy Park	6,335		Auburn	62,761
	Pacific	6,514	Over 65,000	\$2,500	Level 5
	Duvall	6,695		Federal Way	89,306
	Newcastle	10,380		Renton	90,927
	Enumclaw	10,669		Kent	92,411
	Snoqualmie	10,670		Bellevue	122,363
	Woodinville	10,938	Over 250,000	\$5,000	Level 6
	Lake Forest Park	12,598		Seattle	608,660
	Bothell	17,090	King County	\$10,000	Level 7
	Covington	17,575			
	Tukwila	19,107			

Note: Population data from the Puget Sound Regional Council’s download of 2010 census data

Decision Options

No decision needed at this time. Staff will use committee feedback on the questions noted above to develop a draft agreement or additional options that will be brought back for the CASC's review.

MEMORANDUM

TO: Climate Action Steering Committee
FROM: Michael Ambrogi, Senior Planner
Thurston Regional Planning Council
DATE: July 19, 2021
SUBJECT: Monitoring and Assessment Program

Requested Action

Review staff recommendations for a long-term climate monitoring and assessment program.

- Would the monitoring program recommended by the MST meet the region's needs?
- Is there anything else that should be included in the monitoring program?

Background

The Climate Mitigation Plan includes a monitoring framework (Appendix 10.7) to gauge accountability and track progress toward achieving the regional greenhouse gas emission targets. The Parties recognize that monitoring and assessment will be critical to the long-term success of implementation. The monitoring framework serves as a starting point for a long-term monitoring program. The Phase 3 ILA scope of work includes the following task:

Task 4.1: Recommendations for Long-term Monitoring Program. Develop recommendations for longer-term monitoring and assessment program to be included in the ILA described as a deliverable for Task 2. This task would include reviewing monitoring and performance assessment programs for 2-5 other climate programs and presenting different options to MST and Steering Committee.

The review will involve researching feasibility and developing options and recommendations for including the following components in a long-term monitoring and assessment program:

- Greenhouse Gas Emissions Inventory (Action G4.1)
- Methods and baseline data to track additional emissions sources and supplemental indicators identified as gaps in the Thurston Climate Mitigation Plan (i.e., consumption-side emissions, baseline sequestration information, equity indicators) (Action G4.3). This task would not include collecting the actual data to track these additional emissions sources and indicators, but only to assess the feasibility and costs of doing so.
- Performance Assessment, including an online dashboard reporting status and trends of key performance indicators and annual or other progress reporting on regional climate action (Action G4.2)
- Cost estimates for each component of the long-term monitoring program.

Goal of the Monitoring Program

The intent of a climate mitigation monitoring program is to hold parties accountable for reducing greenhouse gas emissions. A monitoring program can do so in two ways:

- Tracking changes in greenhouse gas emissions in the Thurston Region
- Tracking implementation of the strategies and actions identified in the TCMP

At the June Multijurisdictional Staff Team (MST) meeting, staff recognized that both options are important. However, who takes the lead on each will differ. Since much of the plan's implementation will be done by jurisdictions, tracking implementation of actions and strategies would best be done by each jurisdiction. This would also give jurisdictions opportunities to highlight their climate mitigation efforts. TRPC's resources would be best used to track greenhouse gas emissions and key performance indicators across the region; this should be the focus of the monitoring program included in the ILA.

Recommendations

TRPC staff reviewed climate monitoring programs in other cities, counties, and MPOs and presented options for a Thurston County monitoring program to the MST at their June meeting. Topics discussed included:

- Who is the audience?
- What metrics are being monitored?
- How is the information presented?
- How often is the monitoring program updated?

Based on that discussion, staff identified a need for two different products in the monitoring program: a user-friendly dashboard and a more detailed report.

Climate Dashboard

The climate dashboard would be an online resource intended for the general public. This audience may not be familiar with the TCMP, may not be data-savvy, and may have limited time. The dashboard would have clean, simple layout, limited jargon, and only a few key charts. To promote accessibility, it would be both mobile and desktop friendly.

The climate dashboard would track the region's greenhouse gas emissions plus a small number of key performance indicators related to each sector of the greenhouse gas inventory. Underlying data would be available for download for more data-savvy users. Where available, the downloadable data would be broken down by jurisdiction.

Why many climate indicators do not change much from year to year, staff felt the dashboard should be updated every 1-2 years to show commitment to monitoring.

Key features:

- Simple layout, with limited jargon

- Online desktop/mobile friendly
- Limited number of charts and tables
- Updated every 1-2 years
- Links to download data
- Emphasis on regional emissions

Example:

- City of Fort Collins, Colo. Climate Dashboard
<https://ftcollinscap.clearpointstrategy.com/>

Detailed Report

In addition to the public-friendly dashboard, staff identified a need for a longer monitoring report that was updated less frequently (about every five years). The primary purpose would be to inform future updates to the TCMP, Comprehensive Planning, or other jurisdiction climate planning efforts by identifying where more action is needed (i.e. which sectors) to meet the region's goals. This report would include the data used in the dashboard plus other key performance indicators as needed. This longer report would be in pdf format. The intended user would be jurisdiction staff and other people familiar with the TCMP.

Key Features

- PDF report
- Data-focused
- Updated every 5 or so years
- Help inform future climate mitigation action
- Jurisdiction-level data, where available

Other Options

A few options were considered by the MST but not recommended:

- Tracking TCMP action implementation — Staff felt that this task was important but was best left to individual jurisdictions
- TCMP Monitoring Protocol — Appendix 7 of the TCMP includes a Monitoring Protocol with 70 key performance indicators. While this could be a source for indicators to use in the monitoring program, staff did not feel it was useful to include all of the indicators. In addition, data are not available for some of the indicators in the Protocol.
- The Profile / Sustainable Thurston Report Card — TRPC provides data on many climate-related topics in The Profile and Sustainable Thurston Report Card, two resources which are updated annually. While some of this data may be used in the monitoring protocol, staff felt it was important to have all climate-related data available from a single website, with context about how it relates to regional goals

Other examples of city/county climate monitoring dashboards

<p>San Francisco, CA</p> <p>https://sfenvironment.org/sf-climate-dashboard</p> <p>Data-centric dashboard that provides detailed information on San Francisco’s greenhouse gas emissions.</p>	<p>Vancouver, BC</p> <p>https://opendata.vancouver.ca/pages/vandashboard/</p> <p>Climate change indicators are part of Vancouver’s overall city performance dashboard. Includes very clear targets.</p>	<p>Nashua, NH</p> <p>https://www.livablenashua.org/home</p> <p>Monitors key metrics. Also highlights related city initiatives and resources.</p>
<p>Fort Collins, CO</p> <p>https://ftcollinscap.clearpointstrategy.com/</p> <p>Includes a mix of direct and indirect measures of greenhouse gas emissions. Some metrics are linked to targets while others are informational.</p>	<p>Boulder, CO</p> <p>https://bouldercolorado.gov/boulder-measures</p> <p>Small number of metrics with very clear targets. Similar layout to TRPC’s <i>Sustainable Thurston Report Card</i>.</p>	<p>Broward County, FL</p> <p>https://bcgis.maps.arcgis.com/apps/MapSeries/index.html?appid=19a5119bfb254d7db93e390305c4d4dc</p> <p>Contains a mix of information about local plans, sources of emissions, projects and initiatives, and tools.</p>

Next Steps

Based on Steering Committee feedback, TRPC staff will draft a climate monitoring program for the ILA, including a budget estimate for individual elements of the program.